

SEMISTABLE MINIMAL MODELS OF THREEFOLDS IN POSITIVE OR MIXED CHARACTERISTIC

YUJIRO KAWAMATA

ABSTRACT. We extend the minimal model theorem to the 3-dimensional schemes which are projective and have semistable reduction over the spectrum of a Dedekind ring.

0. INTRODUCTION

The classification theory of algebraic surfaces is characteristic free (cf. [Mu]), and it also holds for log surfaces, i.e., pairs consisting of surfaces and divisors (cf. [K1], [F], [TM]). But the minimal model theory of algebraic 3-folds is only completed over the field of characteristic 0, because the vanishing theorem of Kodaira type is necessary in the course of the proof (cf. [KMM], see also [Ko]). The purpose of this paper is to extend the minimal model theory to the positive or mixed characteristic case for 3-dimensional schemes which have semistable reduction over the spectrum of a Dedekind ring. We refer to [KMM] for the terminology of the minimal model theory.

Because our 3-fold is fibered by a family of surfaces, we can prove the Cone Theorem (Theorem 1.3) easily by using the results on log surfaces. It says that there exists an extremal ray if the given 3-fold is not minimal relatively over the base. Since the vanishing theorem of Kodaira type is false in positive characteristic, we cannot prove the Base Point Free Theorem in general. But using certain weaker vanishing theorems (Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2), we prove the Contraction Theorem (Theorem 2.3) : there exists a contraction morphism associated to an extremal ray.

There are several things about which we should be careful in the positive or mixed characteristic case. Because we do not have the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem, we do not know whether terminal singularities are always Cohen Macauley. So we put a technical assumption (6) at (1.1) instead. This condition is automatically satisfied in the case of characteristic 0, but we do not know whether it is true or not in the general case. We shall prove that this assumption is preserved under the birational transformations in the process toward the minimal models, i.e., the divisorial contractions and flips (Theorems 5.3 and 5.5).

In order to prove the Flip Theorem in §5, we classify the *terminal singularities* which appear inevitably in the course of the minimal model program in §§3-4. Here we may assume that the base ring is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30, 14B05, 14D10, 14E05, 14E35, 14F17, 14G35.

Key words and phrases. minimal model, terminal singularity, threefold, positive characteristic.

field is algebraically closed. The canonical cover is an inseparable morphism if its degree is divisible by the characteristic, and the global canonical covering space may have non-normal points, e.g., for a quasi-hyperelliptic surface (cf. [BM]). But we can prove similar statements for a local canonical cover as in the case of characteristic 0 by using a general position argument (§3). Then the singularities of the canonical covers are classified by using the assumption (1.1) (§4). Here we can use the method of the toric geometry (cf. [KKMS]), because it concerns the set of monomials, and independent of the characteristic.

The termination of the sequence of flips (Theorem 5.2) is proved by looking at the minimal discrepancy coefficients of exceptional divisors (cf. [K4]). Then by induction on the maximal index of the relative canonical divisor, we prove the Flip Theorem (Theorem 5.5) : the flip exists for a small contraction. This proof is new and simple compared with the previously known proofs when it is applied to the case of characteristic 0 (cf. [T], [K2], [S], [Mo2]). But the additional condition (6) of (1.1) made our proof longer.

As in [KMM], the combination of the Cone, the Contraction and the Flip Theorems yields the main theorem of this paper, the Minimal Model Theorem (Theorem 5.7) : the given morphism has a birational model which is minimal or has a Mori fiber space structure.

The author would like to thank Professor S. Tsunoda for explaining [TM2] and the unpublished version of [T].

1. CONE THEOREM

Let $\Delta = \text{Spec } A$ be the spectrum of a Dedekind ring, and let $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a flat quasi-projective morphism from a normal scheme X of dimension 3, or a morphism obtained by a completion from such a morphism. In this paper, f is said to be *semistable* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) the generic fiber X_η is smooth,
- (2) X is regular, and the fibers X_s for the closed points $s \in \Delta$ are geometrically reduced normal crossing divisors,
- (3) the irreducible components of any closed fiber X_s are geometrically irreducible and smooth.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a minimal model for the given semistable and projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$.

The *relative canonical sheaf* $\omega_{X/\Delta} = H^{-2}(\omega_{X/\Delta}^\bullet)$ is a coherent reflexive sheaf of rank 1. The corresponding Weil divisor $K_{X/\Delta}$ is called the *relative canonical divisor*.

The construction of a minimal model is inductive, and we shall deal with the category of morphisms given below. Note that a semistable morphism is in this category as well as its minimal model, but the latter is not necessarily semistable.

1.1. Assumption. Throughout this paper, we assume the following conditions:

- (1) the generic fiber X_η is smooth,
- (2) the fibers X_s for the closed points $s \in \Delta$ are geometrically reduced and satisfy the condition (S_2) ,
- (3) the irreducible components S_i of any closed fiber X_s are geometrically irreducible and geometrically normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors on X .

- (4) there exists a finite set Σ of closed points on X such that $X \setminus \Sigma$ is regular, and X has only *terminal singularities* at Σ , i.e., (i) the relative canonical divisor $K_{X/\Delta}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, and (ii) for any birational quasi-projective morphism $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ from a normal scheme X' and any prime divisor E on X' such that $\mu(E) \subset \Sigma$, we have

$$v_E(K_{X'/\Delta} - \mu^* K_{X/\Delta}) > 0$$

where v_E denotes the discrete valuation at the generic point of E ,

- (5) any closed fiber X_s is a normal crossing divisor on $X \setminus \Sigma$, and the pair (X, X_s) has only *log terminal singularities* at Σ , i.e., for any $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ and E as in (4), we have

$$v_E(K_{X'/\Delta} - \mu^*(K_{X/\Delta} + X_s)) > -1.$$

From §3 on, we shall assume the additional condition:

- (6) the $\omega_{X/\Delta}^{[m]} = \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{X/\Delta})$ satisfy the condition (S_3) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ is said to be *minimal* if f is projective and $K_{X/\Delta}$ is f -nef. The condition (4) actually follows from (5), since X_s is a Cartier divisor. By the adjunction of the log canonical divisors, we deduce from (3) and (5) that the pairs (S_i, D_i) for

$$D_i = \sum_{j \neq i} S_j|_{S_i}$$

have only log terminal singularities at Σ . We note that we excluded the pinch point and its quotients from the singularities of X_s by the condition (3). The minimal resolutions of the log terminal singularities of surfaces are classified by [TM]. In characteristic 0, the condition (6) follows from the condition (4), since the terminal singularities are Cohen-Macaulay in this case.

1.2. Definition. In this paper, a *curve* is an irreducible and reduced closed subscheme C_j of a fiber X_s for $s \in \Delta$ which has dimension 1 over the residue field $\kappa(s) = A_s/\mathfrak{m}_s$. As in [KMM], when f is projective, we define

$$N^1(X/\Delta) = (\{\text{Cartier divisor } L \text{ on } X\} / \sim_{\text{num}/\Delta}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

$$N_1(X/\Delta) = (\{1\text{-cycle } \sum d_j C_j \text{ in the fibers of } f\} / \sim_{\text{num}/\Delta}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

where $\sim_{\text{num}/\Delta}$ denotes the numerical equivalence over Δ . A $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor L gives a linear functional h_L on $N_1(X/\Delta)$ defined by $h_L(z) = (L \cdot z)$. We also define the *Hironaka-Kleiman-Mori cone* (or the *cone of curves*) $\overline{NE}(X/\Delta)$ to be the closed convex cone in $N_1(X/\Delta)$ generated by the numerical classes of curves.

1.3. Cone Theorem. *Under the assumption (1.1), assume moreover that f is projective. Then $\overline{NE}(X/\Delta)$ is locally polyhedral in the half space defined by $h_{K_{X/\Delta}}(z) < 0$. Moreover, any extremal ray in this half space is generated by a class of a curve on a fiber of f .*

Proof. We have $K_{X/\Delta}|_{S_i} = K_{S_i} + D_i$ for any irreducible component S_i of any fiber of f . By [Mc1] and [TM], the Hironaka-Kleiman-Mori cone $\overline{NE}(S_i) \subset N_1(S_i)$

is locally polyhedral in the half spaces $h_{K_{S_i}+D_i}(z) < 0$, and any extremal ray is generated by a curve on S_i .

The images of the $\overline{NE}(S_i)$ by the surjective homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_i N_1(S_i) \rightarrow N_1(X/\Delta)$$

generate $\overline{NE}(X/\Delta)$ as a closed convex cone.

Let X_s be a smooth closed fiber and C a curve on X_s which generates an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X_s)$. We shall prove that the class of C in $N_1(X/\Delta)$ is contained in the image of $\overline{NE}(X_\eta)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an irreducible component of the relative Hilbert scheme of $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ which contains the point $[C]$ corresponding to C . Let $\bar{k}$ be an algebraic closure of the residue field $k = \kappa(s)$, and $\hat{A}$ a complete discrete valuation ring which dominates A_s and whose residue field is $\bar{k}$. The base change to $\bar{k}$ gives a closed subscheme $\bar{C}$ of $X_{\bar{s}}$.

By [Mo1, 2.7], (i) $\bar{C}$ is a disjoint union of (-1) -curves, (ii) $\bar{C}$ is a union of fibers of a conic bundle, or (iii) $\rho(X_s) = 1$. By an infinitesimal calculus, the geometric point $[\bar{C}] \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{k})$ corresponding to $\bar{C}$ can be extended to a geometric point $\hat{C} \in \mathcal{H}(\hat{A})$ in the cases (i) and (ii). In the case (iii), we have the same assertion if we replace C by a hyperplane section of X_s belonging to an f -very ample linear system. By the universality of $\mathcal{H}$, we conclude that there exists a closed subscheme C_η of X_η which has the same image as C in $N_1(X/\Delta)$.

Since there are only a finite number of non-smooth fibers of f , we have the theorem. Q.E.D.

We can prove a relative version of the cone theorem, where $N_1(X/B)$, etc. are defined similarly as in Definition 1.2 (cf. [KMM]).

1.3'. Theorem. *Let B be a scheme with a flat and quasi-projective morphism $g : B \rightarrow \Delta$, or one which is obtained by a completion from such a scheme, and $f' : X \rightarrow B$ a projective morphism such that $f = g \circ f'$ satisfies (1.1). Then the closed convex cone $\overline{NE}(X/B) \subset N_1(X/B)$ is locally polyhedral in the half space defined by $h_{K_{X/\Delta}}(z) < 0$. Moreover, any extremal ray in this half space is generated by a class of a curve on a fiber of f' .*

2. CONTRACTION THEOREM

2.1. Lemma. *Let (S, D) be a pair of a normal surface over an algebraically closed field k and a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor which has only log terminal singularities (thus $\lfloor D \rfloor = 0$), L a Cartier divisor on S , and let $f : S \rightarrow B$ be a projective surjective morphism whose fibers are at most 1 dimensional. Assume that $L - (K_S + D)$ is f -nef and f -big (cf. [KMM]). Then $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S(L) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $g : S' \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution. We define $K_{S'} + D' = g^*(K_S + D)$ and $L' = g^*L$. Then the pair (S', D') and L' satisfy the conditions of the lemma with respect to g and $f \circ g$. Therefore, we may assume that S is nonsingular. We may also assume that $L - (K_S + D)$ is f -ample.

Let $E = \sum e_i E_i$ be a nonzero effective divisor on S whose irreducible components E_i are contained in a fiber of f . Suppose that $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E(L)) \neq 0$. Then by Serre duality, there is a nonzero element $s \in H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(K_S + E - L))$. If we decrease the e_i , we may assume that s generates $\mathcal{O}_E(K_S + E - L)$ at the generic points of the E_i . Then $((K_S + E - L) \cdot E_i) \geq 0$, hence $((E - D) \cdot E_i) > 0$, a contradiction. Q.E.D.

2.2. Lemma. *Let (S, D) be a pair of a normal projective surface over an algebraically closed field k and a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor which has only log terminal singularities. Assume that $-(K_S + D)$ is ample. Then $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$.*

Proof. We shall prove that S is a rational surface. Let $g : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. Since (S, D) has only log terminal singularities, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D' on S' such that

- (1) $K_{S'} + D' - g^*(K_S + D)$ is an effective divisor supported on the exceptional locus of g ,
- (2) (S', D') has only log terminal singularities,
- (3) $-(K_{S'} + D')$ is ample.

Since $|mK_{S'}| = \emptyset$ for all $m > 0$, S' is birationally equivalent to a ruled surface. By (3), $\overline{NE}(S')$ is spanned by extremal rays, which are generated by rational curves. Hence the genus of the base curve of the ruling is 0. Q.E.D.

2.3. Contraction Theorem. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, let R be an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X/\Delta)$ in the half space $h_{K_{X/\Delta}}(z) < 0$. Then there exists a projective surjective morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ to a normal scheme Z which is projective over Δ such that $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$ and that any curve C on any fiber of f is mapped to a point by φ if and only if $\text{cl}(C) \in R$.*

Proof. We shall use the contraction theorem of log surfaces (cf. [TM]). By definition of an extremal ray, there exists a line bundle L on X such that $(L \cdot C) \geq 0$ for any curve C on any fiber of f , and $(L \cdot C) = 0$ if and only if $\text{cl}(C) \in R$. We shall prove that the linear system $|mL|$ is relatively free over Δ for a positive integer m , i.e., the canonical homomorphism $f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X(mL) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mL)$ is surjective.

Let us fix a closed point s of Δ . Let $\bar{k}$ be an algebraic closure of the residue field $k = \kappa(s)$, $X_{\bar{s}}$ the corresponding geometric fiber, $\bar{S}_i$ the irreducible components of $X_{\bar{s}}$, and $\bar{D}_i$ the divisors on the $\bar{S}_i$ obtained from the D_i by the base change. As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, let $\hat{A}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring which dominates A_s and whose residue field $\hat{A}/\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{s}} = \bar{k}$. Moreover, we assume that $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{s}} = \mathfrak{m}_s\hat{A}$. Let $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}$ be the morphism obtained by the base change $A_s \rightarrow \hat{A}$. By the flat base change theorem, it is sufficient to prove that $\hat{f}^*\hat{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(m\hat{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(m\hat{L})$ is surjective.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$ be set of the curves on $X_{\bar{s}}$ which are irreducible components of the 1-dimensional closed subschemes obtained by the base change from the curves C on X_s such that $\text{cl}(C) \in R$. Then the curves in $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$ generates an extremal face $R_{\bar{s}}$ of $\overline{NE}(X_{\bar{s}})$. Let $E_{\bar{s}}$ be the union of these curves.

There are four cases:

- (1) there are only a finite number of curves in $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$,
- (2) $E_{\bar{s}}$ contains an irreducible component $\bar{S}_i$ of $X_{\bar{s}}$ but $E_{\bar{s}} \neq X_{\bar{s}}$,
- (3) $E_{\bar{s}} = X_{\bar{s}}$ but $\text{cl}(\bar{C}) \notin R_{\bar{s}}$ for some curve $\bar{C}$ on $X_{\bar{s}}$,
- (4) $\text{cl}(\bar{C}) \in R_{\bar{s}}$ for all $\bar{C}$ on $X_{\bar{s}}$.

Case (1). Let $\bar{C}$ be a curve $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$. If $\bar{C}$ is contained in two irreducible components $\bar{S}_i$ and $\bar{S}_j$ of $X_{\bar{s}}$, then $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}) < 0$ and $(\bar{S}_j \cdot \bar{C}) < 0$, because $\bar{C}$ is contractible in the normal surfaces $\bar{S}_i$ and $\bar{S}_j$. Hence $\bar{C}' \subset \bar{S}_i \cap \bar{S}_j$ for any $\bar{C}' \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$. Here we note that we used the condition (3) of (1.1). If $\bar{C} \subset \bar{S}_i$, $\bar{C} \not\subset \bar{D}_i$ and $\bar{C} \cap \bar{D}_i \neq \emptyset$, then $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}) < 0$, hence $\bar{C}' \subset \bar{S}_i$ for any $\bar{C}' \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$. Finally, if $\bar{C} \subset \bar{S}_i$ and $\bar{C} \cap \bar{D}_i = \emptyset$, then $\bar{C}' \cap \bar{D}_i = \emptyset$ for any $\bar{C}' \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$ such that $\bar{C}' \subset \bar{S}_i$. In any of the above cases, one

can prove that there exist a projective scheme $Z_{\bar{s}}$ of dimension 2 which satisfies the condition (S_2) and a birational morphism $\varphi_{\bar{s}} : X_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow Z_{\bar{s}}$ whose exceptional locus coincides with $E_{\bar{s}}$.

By Lemma 2.1, one can easily check that $R^1\varphi_{\bar{s}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{s}}} = 0$, and there exists an ample line bundle $H_{\bar{s}}$ on $Z_{\bar{s}}$ such that $L_{\bar{s}} = \varphi_{\bar{s}}^*H_{\bar{s}}$ (cf. [A1]). Therefore, the linear system $|mL_{\bar{s}}|$ is free and $H^1(X_{\bar{s}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{s}}}(mL_{\bar{s}})) = 0$ for a positive integer m by the Serre vanishing theorem, hence our assertion.

If we choose m large enough, then the image Z satisfies conditions (S_3) and (R_2) , and in particular, it is normal.

Case (2). The extremal face $R_{\bar{s}}$ determines an extremal face of $\overline{NE}(\bar{S}_i)$ with respect to $K_{\bar{S}_i} + \bar{D}_i$, hence a contraction morphism $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i} : \bar{S}_i \rightarrow \varphi_{\bar{S}_i}(\bar{S}_i) = \bar{B}$. We have two subcases:

- (2a) $\dim \bar{B} = 1$,
- (2b) $\dim \bar{B} = 0$.

In the subcase (2a), suppose that a general fiber $\bar{C}$ of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$ does not intersect $\bar{D}_i$. Then we have $(\bar{S}_j \cdot \bar{C}') = 0$ for any j and any $\bar{C}' \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$. If $\bar{C}''$ is an irreducible component of $\bar{D}_i$, then $\bar{C}''$ is contained in a fiber of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$, hence $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}'') = 0$. So if $\bar{C}'' \subset \bar{S}_j$, then the contraction morphism from $\bar{S}_j$ determined by the face $R_{\bar{s}}$ is of fiber type again, i.e., $\bar{S}_j \subset E_{\bar{s}}$. Hence $X_{\bar{s}} \subset E_{\bar{s}}$, a contradiction.

Therefore, there is an irreducible component $\bar{S}_j$ such that $\bar{S}_i \cap \bar{S}_j$ is a section of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$. Then $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}) < 0$ for a general fiber $\bar{C}$ of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$, and $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}') < 0$ for any $\bar{C}' \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$. Hence $E_{\bar{s}} = \bar{S}_i$. Let $\bar{C}''$ be an irreducible component of $\bar{D}_i$ in a fiber of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$. Since $(\bar{S}_j \cdot \bar{C}'') > 0$, $\bar{C}''$ intersects $\bar{S}_j$ at a nonsingular point of $\bar{S}_i$. In particular, $\bar{C}''$ is the only component of $\bar{D}_i$ in the same fiber as $\bar{C}''$.

Then one can construct a projective scheme $Z_{\bar{s}}$ of dimension 2 which satisfies the condition (S_2) and a morphism $\varphi_{\bar{s}} : X_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow Z_{\bar{s}}$ which coincides with $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$ on $\bar{S}_i$ and is isomorphic outside $\bar{S}_i$. As in the case (1), we have only to prove that $R^1\varphi_{\bar{s}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{s}}} = 0$.

Let $X'_{\bar{s}}$ be the union of the irreducible components of $X_{\bar{s}}$ other than $\bar{S}_i$. Thus $\bar{D}_i = X'_{\bar{s}} \cap \bar{S}_i$. We have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'_{\bar{s}}}(-\bar{D}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{s}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}_i} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'_{\bar{s}}}(-\bar{D}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'_{\bar{s}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}_i} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have $R^1\varphi_{\bar{S}_i*}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}_i} = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Since $R^1\varphi_{\bar{s}*}\mathcal{O}_{X'_{\bar{s}}} = 0$ and $\bar{D}_i$ is connected, we have also $R^1\varphi_{\bar{s}*}\mathcal{O}_{X'_{\bar{s}}}(-\bar{D}_i) = 0$. Hence $R^1\varphi_{\bar{s}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{s}}} = 0$.

In the subcase (2b), since $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}) < 0$ for any $\bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$, we have again $E_{\bar{s}} = \bar{S}_i$. Moreover, since any irreducible component of $\bar{D}_i$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on $\bar{S}_i$, it intersects any curve on $\bar{S}_i$. But since $K_{\bar{S}_i} + \bar{D}_i$ is negative, $\bar{D}_i$ consists of 1 or 2 irreducible components. Then Lemma 2.2 combined with the argument in the subcase (2a) yields the result.

Case (3). Let $\bar{S}_i$ be an irreducible component of $X_{\bar{s}}$ such that the image of the associated contraction morphism $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$ is a curve. If $\bar{D}_i$ contains a section of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$, then we have $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}) < 0$ for $\bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$, and $E_{\bar{s}} = \bar{S}_i$ as in the case (2), a contradiction. Hence $(\bar{S}_i \cdot \bar{C}) = 0$ for any $\bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$, and no irreducible component of $X_{\bar{s}}$ is contracted to a point by the associated contraction morphism. Any irreducible component of any intersection $\bar{S}_i \cap \bar{S}_j$ is at the same time a fiber of $\varphi_{\bar{S}_i}$ and $\varphi_{\bar{S}_j}$. Thus we have a

1-dimensional reduced scheme $Z_{\bar{s}}$ with only ordinary double points as singularities and a contraction morphism $\varphi_{\bar{s}} : X_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow Z_{\bar{s}}$ with $R^1\varphi_{\bar{s}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{s}}} = 0$, and we are done.

Case (4). We have just $\varphi = f$. Q.E.D.

We have again a relative version of the contraction theorem.

2.3'. Theorem. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3', if R is an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X/B)$ in the half space $h_{K_{X/\Delta}}(z) < 0$, then there exists a projective surjective morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ to a normal scheme Z which is projective over B such that $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$ and that any curve C on any fiber of f' is mapped to a point by φ if and only if $cl(C) \in R$.*

3. CANONICAL COVER

We shall extend the construction of the canonical cover (cf. [R]). We assume that the morphism $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies (1.1) including the condition (6). Moreover, we assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field k is algebraically closed. For a closed point $P \in \Sigma$, the positive integer

$$r = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : mK_{X/\Delta} \text{ is Cartier at } P\}$$

is called the *index* of $K_{X/\Delta}$ at P . We note that $K_{X/\Delta}$ is Cartier on $X \setminus \Sigma$.

Let us fix a point $P \in \Sigma$. By replacing X by a small neighborhood of P if necessary, we assume that $K_{X/\Delta}$ is Cartier on $U = X \setminus \{P\}$ and there exists a nowhere vanishing section θ of $\mathcal{O}_X(rK_{X/\Delta})$. Then an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra structure on

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_{X/\Delta})t^m$$

is defined by an equation $t^r = \theta$. Let $Y = \text{Spec } \mathcal{F}$, $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ the natural projection, and $Q = \pi^{-1}(P)$. By the condition (6) of (1.1), Y satisfies the condition (S_3) .

The group scheme $\mu_r = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/(\zeta^r - 1)$ with co-multiplication $\zeta \mapsto \zeta \otimes \zeta$ acts on Y by the co-action

$$x \mapsto \zeta^m \otimes x \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_{X/\Delta})t^m.$$

The ring of μ_r -invariants of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Since the choice of θ is large, the *canonical cover* $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is not unique even locally in the etale topology.

3.1. Theorem. *If X is a small enough neighborhood of P and θ is chosen sufficiently general, then $f \circ \pi : Y \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies the conditions of (1.1). Moreover, Y is Gorenstein.*

Proof. By [H],

$$\pi_*\omega_{Y/\Delta} \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_{X/\Delta}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_{X/\Delta})t^{-m} \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot t$$

as $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Hence Y is Gorenstein.

One can decompose π as follows. Let p be the characteristic of k , and let $r = \tilde{r}p^e$ with $(\tilde{r}, p) = 1$. We set $\tilde{t} = t^{p^e}$, and $\tilde{Y} = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\tilde{r}-1} \mathcal{O}_X(-mp^e K_{X/\Delta}) \tilde{t}^m)$ with $\tilde{t}^{\tilde{r}} = \theta$. Let $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$ and $\sigma : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be the natural morphisms. Then $\tilde{\pi}$ is etale over $X \setminus \Sigma$ and σ is purely inseparable.

Let T_i be the inverse image by π of an irreducible component S_i of X_s . If T_i is not irreducible, then its irreducible components meet only at Q . But there is a positive integer n such that nT_i is a Cartier divisor on Y and satisfies the condition (S_2) , a contradiction. Hence T_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor.

We shall prove that Y is normal. If $r = \tilde{r}$, then it is clear that $Y \setminus \{Q\}$ is regular, hence Y is normal. We assume that $p|r$ in the following. For a point $P' \neq P$ of X , let θ_0 be a germ of a generating section of $\mathcal{O}_X(K_{X/\Delta})$ at P' , and write $\theta = h\theta_0^r$ for a germ of an invertible function h at P' . Let s be a nonzero global section of $\mathcal{O}_X(K_{X/\Delta})$. If we write $s = c\theta_0$ for a germ of a regular function c at P' , then $s^r = c^r\theta_0^r = g\theta$ for a global regular function g . Thus a local section dh of $\Omega_{X/\Delta}^1$ vanishes if and only if dg/g vanishes. If U' is the open subset of X on which f is smooth and dg/g does not vanish, then $f \circ \pi$ is smooth over $\pi^{-1}(U')$. If we replace θ by $u\theta$ for an invertible function u , then dg/g is replaced by $dg/g - du/u$. By Bertini's theorem, du/u has no zero on U for general u . The 1-form dg/g gives a rational section of the projection $\mathbb{P}_*(\Omega_{U/\Delta}^1) \rightarrow U$, and its intersection with the section given by du/u is at most 2-dimensional for general u , since the latter is free. Then one can arrange u so that the zero locus of $dg/g - du/u$ is at most 1-dimensional. Therefore, $X \setminus U'$ is at most 1-dimensional, and Y is normal. The above argument also showed that the condition (2) of (1.1) holds for Y .

We shall prove that Y has only terminal singularities. Then it follows the conditions (1) and (4) of (1.1) for Y . Let $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-projective birational morphism from a normal scheme X' , and E a prime divisor on X' such that $\text{codim } \mu(E) \geq 2$. We have $K_{X'/\Delta} = \mu^*K_{X/\Delta} + \Gamma$ for some effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor Γ whose support contains E ; we have $\Gamma = \frac{a}{r}E + \dots$ with $a \in \mathbb{N}$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -algebra structure on

$$\mathcal{F}' = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-mK_{X'/\Delta} + \lfloor m\Gamma \rfloor) t^m$$

by $t^r = \mu^*\theta \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}(rK_{X'/\Delta} - r\Gamma)$. Let $Y' = \text{Spec } \mathcal{F}'$, and $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow X'$ the projection. Since there are natural homomorphisms

$$\mu^*\mathcal{O}_X(-mK_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-mK_{X'/\Delta} + \lfloor m\Gamma \rfloor)$$

we have a birational morphism $\mu' : Y' \rightarrow Y$ which covers μ .

We have to prove that Y' is normal. By construction, Y' satisfies the condition (S_2) . Since μ' is an isomorphism outside the support of Γ , it is enough to prove that Y' is regular above the generic point η_E of E . Let v and θ'_0 be generators of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{E, X'}$ and $\omega_{X'/\Delta}$ at η_E , respectively. We can write $\mu^*\theta = hv^a\theta'_0{}^r$ for an invertible function h at η_E . Let $c = (r, a)$, $r = cr'$ and $a = ca'$. Then $am_0 - (\lceil am_0/r' \rceil - 1)r' = 1$ for an integer m_0 such that $0 < m_0 \leq r'$. We set

$$u_j = \theta'_0{}^{r-jr'} v^{-ja'} t^{jr'} \in \mathcal{O}_{X', \eta_E}(-jr'K_{X'/\Delta} + \lfloor jr'\Gamma \rfloor) t^{jr'} \text{ for } 0 \leq j < c$$

Then $u_j^c = h^j \in \mathcal{O}_{X', \eta_E}$ is invertible, and $v'^{r'} = h^{m_0} v$. Hence v' generates the maximal ideals at the points of Y' above η_E , and Y' is regular there. Therefore, Y' is isomorphic to the normalization of the fiber product $Y \times_X X'$.

We have

$$\pi'_* \omega_{Y'/\Delta} \simeq \bigoplus_{m=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_{X'}((m+1)K_{X'/\Delta} - \lfloor m\Gamma \rfloor) t^{-m},$$

and there are natural homomorphisms

$$\mu^* \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}((m+1)K_{X'/\Delta} - \lfloor m\Gamma \rfloor)$$

which is not isomorphic at η_E for $m = r - 1$. Hence the discrepancy $K_{Y'/\Delta} - \mu'^* K_{Y/\Delta}$ has positive coefficients at prime divisors of Y' which lie above E . Thus Y has only terminal singularities.

Next, we shall prove that the pair (Y, Y_s) has only a log terminal singularity at Q . Supposing that $\mu(E) = P$, let us write $\mu^* X_s = bE + \dots$ for $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (X, X_s) is log terminal at P , we have $a/r - b > -1$. The coefficient of the discrepancy $K_{Y'/\Delta} - \mu'^*(K_{Y/\Delta} + Y_s)$ at the points above η_E is $r'(a - \lfloor a(r-1)/r \rfloor - b) = r'(\lceil a/r \rceil - b) \geq 0$. Hence (Y, Y_s) is log terminal at Q .

Let T'_i be the normalizations of the T_i , and $F_i = \sum_{j \neq i} T_j|_{T'_i}$. Since the pair (Y, Y_s) is log terminal at Q , so are the pairs (T'_i, F_i) above Q by the adjunction. Then it follows easily that the F_i are reduced, hence Y_s is a normal crossing divisor on $Y \setminus \{Q\}$. The restriction of a local section of $\mathcal{O}_{T_i}$ on $T_i \setminus \{Q\}$ to $F_i \setminus \{Q\}$ extends to sections of the $\mathcal{O}_{T_j}$ on the $T_j \setminus \{Q\}$ for $j \neq i$, hence a section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_s}$ over $Y_s \setminus \{Q\}$. Since Y_s satisfies the condition (S_2) , it extends over to Y_s , hence the T_i are normal. We note that this is the point where we needed the condition (6) of (1.1). This completes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

4. CLASSIFICATION OF SINGULARITIES

Let $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ be as in §3. We shall classify the singular points of X (cf. [Mo2]).

4.1. Theorem. *Let $P \in X$ be a point of index $r > 1$, and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$, etc. as in §3. Then the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,Q}$ at $Q = \pi^{-1}(P)$ with the μ_r -action is isomorphic to the completion of $A[x, y, z]/(F)$ for some semi-invariant coordinates x, y and z , where*

$$F = xy + G(z^r)$$

for some polynomial $G \in A[z^r]$, and the action of μ_r is given by

$$x \mapsto \zeta^a \otimes x, \quad y \mapsto \zeta^{-a} \otimes y, \quad z \mapsto \zeta \otimes z$$

for some positive integer a such that $(r, a) = 1$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{O}_{Y_s, Q}$ is not integral, then

$$F = xy + \tau$$

for a generator τ of the maximal ideal of A .

Proof. By the classification of log terminal singularities of surfaces of index 1, the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_s, Q}$ with the μ_r -action is isomorphic to a hypersurface singularity $k[[x, y, z]]/(F_s)$ for some semi-invariant coordinates x, y and z , where one of the following holds (cf. [A2]):

$$(1) F_s = xy,$$

$$(2) F_s \text{ has at most an isolated singularity and } \text{ord}(F_s) \leq 2.$$

Since $Y_s = X_s \times_X Y$, the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,Q}$ with the μ_r -action is isomorphic to the completion of $A[x, y, z]/(F)$ such that $F \equiv F_s \pmod{\tau A[x, y, z]}$. We note that the elements in A are μ_r -invariants. Since the action of μ_r is nontrivial and Y has only isolated singularity, the subspace of $\text{Spec } A[x, y, z]$ defined by $F = 0$ intersects the fixed locus of μ_r only at the origin. In fact, the fixed locus of codimension two or more has toric singularities, while if there is only one coordinate which is not invariant, then $\omega_{X/\Delta, P}$ is generated by one element over $\mathcal{O}_{X, P}$, a contradiction. Therefore, none of x, y nor z is $\mu_{r'}$ -invariant for an integer r' with $r'|r$ and $r' > 1$. Moreover, F contains a constant term on x, y and z , hence is a μ_r -invariant. Then so is F_s .

Thus we have the first assertion of the theorem in the case (1). We write $F = xy + \tau G'(z^r)$. Since Y has only isolated singularities, G' is not divisible by τ . Since the S_i are $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, the prime divisor on Y defined by $x = \tau = 0$ should be $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then G' is invertible, hence the last assertion.

In the case (2), if $\text{ord}(F_s) = 1$, then there is an invariant coordinate, a contradiction. Hence $\text{ord}(F_s) = 2$. If F_s contains a degree 2 term of the form xy , then we are done. If it contains x^2 and there are no other terms of degree 2, then $r = 2$ and there is a degree 3 term, a contradiction to the fact that none of the coordinates x, y and z are μ_r -invariants. Q.E.D.

4.2. Corollary. *There exists a projective birational morphism $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ from a normal scheme X' such that the discrepancy coefficient of one of the exceptional divisors with respect to $K_{X/\Delta}$ is equal to $1/r$.*

Proof. We take a weighted blowing up with weights $\frac{1}{r}(a, r-a, 1, r)$ for the semi-invariants (x, y, z, τ) (cf. [KKMS] and [K4]). Q.E.D.

In the above theorem, the *axial multiplicity* of the singular point $P \in X$ is defined to be largest integer n such that $G \pmod{z^r A[z^r]} \in \tau^n A$. If $G_s \equiv G \pmod{\tau A[z^r]} \in z^r k[z^r]$ is equal to 0 or contains a linear term in z^r , then the singular point $P \in X$ is said to be of *simple type*. Then we call the above $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ the *standard blowing up* of X . In this case, the exceptional locus E of μ is an irreducible divisor with $\rho(E) = 1$, and X' satisfies the conditions in (1.1) and has at most 3 terminal singular points which are of simple type again; it has singular points of indices a and $r-a$ if $a > 1$ and $r-a > 1$, respectively, and a singular point of index r and axial multiplicity $n-1$ if $n > 1$.

4.3. Corollary. *Let S_i be an irreducible component of the closed fiber X_s of f , and let D_i be as before. Then the singularities of the pair (S_i, D_i) are toric; a point on $S_i \setminus D_i$ is of type $\frac{1}{hr^2}(bhr-1, 1)$, while S_i is of type $\frac{1}{r}(b, 1)$ at a point on D_i , for some positive integers r, b and h such that $0 < b < r$ and $(r, b) = 1$. Moreover, the former is of simple type if and only if $h = 1$, and the latter is always of simple type.*

Proof. In the former case, we have $F_s = xy + z^{hr}$ for some positive integer h . Then we set $ab = cr + 1$ for a positive integer c . Q.E.D.

4.4. Theorem. *Let $P \in X$ be a point of index 1. If f is not smooth at P , then the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{X, P}$ is isomorphic to the completion of $A[x, y, z]/(F)$ for some coordinates x, y and z , where one of the following holds:*

$$(1) \ F = xyz + \tau,$$

$$(2) \ F = xy + \tau,$$

- (3) $F \equiv F_s \pmod{\tau A[x, y, z]}$ for a polynomial $F_s \in k[x, y, z]$ which defines a rational double point.

Proof. By the classification of log terminal singularities of surfaces of index 1, we have either $F_s = xyz$, $F_s = xy$, or the case (3). Since the S_i are $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, we have (1) or (2) in the former cases as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Q.E.D.

5. FLIP THEOREM

5.1. Definition. A *flip* in this paper is a diagram

$$X \xrightarrow{\varphi} Z \xleftarrow{\varphi^+} X^+$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ and $\varphi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ are projective birational morphisms,
- (2) Z is a normal scheme with a flat quasi-projective morphism $g : Z \rightarrow \Delta$, or one which is obtained by a completion from such a scheme, and the induced morphisms $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ and $f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfy the conditions in (1.1),
- (3) $\varphi_\eta : X_\eta \rightarrow Z_\eta$ and $\varphi_\eta^+ : X_\eta^+ \rightarrow Z_\eta$ are isomorphisms,
- (4) φ and φ^+ contract only finitely many curves on finite numbers of closed fibers of f and f^+ , respectively,
- (5) $-K_{X/\Delta}$ is φ -ample and $K_{X^+/\Delta}$ is φ^+ -ample.

5.2. Theorem. Let $X \xrightarrow{\varphi} Z \xleftarrow{\varphi^+} X^+$ be a flip, and let r (resp. r^+) be the maximum of the indices of $K_{X/\Delta}$ (resp. $K_{X^+/\Delta}$) at the points on the exceptional locus $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$ (resp. $\text{Exc}(\varphi^+)$). Then $r > r^+ \geq 1$. In particular, the termination of flips holds, i.e., there exists no infinite sequence of flips over Δ as follows:

$$X^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(0)}} Z^{(0)} \xleftarrow{\varphi^{(0)+}} X^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(1)}} Z^{(1)} \xleftarrow{\varphi^{(1)+}} X^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(2)}} \dots$$

Proof. We may assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field is algebraically closed. First, we shall prove that $r > 1$. Suppose that $r = 1$. Let C be an irreducible component of $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$. Then there is only one irreducible component S_i of the closed fiber X_s which contains C . In fact, if C is contained in another component S_j , then we have $(S_i \cdot C) \leq -1$ and $(S_j \cdot C) \leq -1$ by Theorem 4.4. Since $(X_s \cdot C) = 0$, there are at least 2 other components of X_s which intersect C transversally. But then we have $(K_{X/\Delta} \cdot C) = ((K_{S_i} + D_i) \cdot C) \geq 0$, a contradiction. Thus $C \not\subset D_i$.

Since the strict transform of C in the minimal resolution of S_i is a (-1) -curve, if $C \cap D_i \neq \emptyset$, then $(K_{X/\Delta} \cdot C) \geq 0$. Thus $C \cap D_i = \emptyset$. The contractibility of C in S_i implies that there is at most one singular point of S_i on C , and it is of type A. Then the surface $\varphi(S_i)$ is smooth at $Q = \varphi(C)$, and so is Z at Q . But K_Z must not be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor at Q , a contradiction, hence $r > 1$.

If $r^+ > 1$, then there exists an exceptional divisor E over X^+ whose discrepancy coefficient with respect to $K_{X^+/\Delta}$ is equal to $1/r^+$. Then the discrepancy coefficient of E with respect to $K_{X/\Delta}$ is less than $1/r^+$, hence $1/r < 1/r^+$. Q.E.D.

5.3. Theorem. *If the exceptional locus E of the contraction morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ in Theorems 2.3 or 2.3' coincides with an irreducible component S_i of a closed fiber X_s , then the induced morphism $g : Z \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies the conditions of (1.1). If X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, and if E contains a prime divisor of X but is not equal to the whole X , then E coincides with the prime divisor, $g : Z \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies the conditions of (1.1), and Z is again $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial.*

Proof. All statements are clear by construction except the condition (6) of (1.1) (cf. [KMM]). We may assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field k is algebraically closed, because the depth is preserved by the base change to such A , but we lose the condition that $\rho(X/Z) = 1$. We fix a positive integer m .

First, we consider the case in which the closed fiber E_s of E is 1-dimensional in the latter half of the theorem. We write $K_{X/\Delta} = \varphi^* K_{Z/\Delta} + dE$ for a positive rational number d . Then we have $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mK_{X/\Delta} - \lceil mdE \rceil) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1 as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Since $\varphi_{s*}\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(mK_{X_s} - \lceil mdE_s \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_{Z_s}(mK_{Z_s})$ satisfies the condition (S_2) , $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mK_{X/\Delta} - \lceil mdE \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_Z(mK_{Z/\Delta})$ satisfies (S_3) .

In the rest of the proof, we assume that E is an irreducible component of X_s . We write $S = S_i = E$ and $D = D_i$. For any Weil divisor W on X , we shall write $\mathcal{O}_D(W) = (\mathcal{O}_X(W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)/\text{torsion}$ in this proof. Let d be the smallest positive integer such that $\mathcal{O}_D(mK_{X/\Delta} - dS)$ has a nonzero global section. We set $L = mK_{X/\Delta} - dS$. Let X'_s be the union of the irreducible components of X_s except S . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(L + S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_s}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'_s}(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

We shall prove

- (1) $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_S(L + S) = 0$.
- (2) $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'_s}(L) = 0$.

Then (1) implies that the natural homomorphism $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(L) \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'_s}(L)$ is surjective, hence $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(L)$ satisfies the condition (S_2) . By the results in §4, $\mathcal{O}_X(L)$ satisfies (S_3) , and the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_s}(L)$ is surjective. Then by (2), we have $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(L) = 0$, and the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Z(mK_{Z/\Delta}) = \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(L)$ is surjective, hence $\mathcal{O}_Z(mK_{Z/\Delta})$ satisfies (S_3) .

Our assertions follow immediately from Lemma 2.1 except (1) when $\varphi(S)$ is a point. There are 3 cases:

- (i) D consists of 2 rational curves,
- (ii) D is an irreducible rational curve and there are at most 2 singular points of S on D ,
- (iii) D is an irreducible rational curve and there are 3 singular points of S on D .

We consider the cases (i) and (ii). Then $\mathcal{O}_D(-K_{X/\Delta})$ has a nonzero global section, hence so has the $\mathcal{O}_D(L - nK_{X/\Delta})$ for any positive integer n . If n is sufficiently large, then we have $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L - D - nK_{X/\Delta})) = 0$ by the Serre vanishing theorem. By the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(L - D - nK_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(L - nK_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(L - nK_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L - nK_{X/\Delta})) \neq 0$ if $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L - D - nK_{X/\Delta})) = 0$. Let M_n be an effective Weil divisor on S which corresponds to a nonzero global section

of $\mathcal{O}_S(L - nK_{X/\Delta})$. Then it is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor. By [LM, Lemmas 1 and 2], where the same arguments can be extended to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors, we have $H^0(M_n, \mathcal{O}_{M_n}) = k$. Since $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2, we have $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-M_n)) = 0$, hence $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L - D - (n-1)K_{X/\Delta})) = 0$. By the descending induction on n , we obtain $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(L - D)) = 0$, i.e., the assertion (1).

In the case (iii), if $\rho(S) = 1$, then we have our theorem by the following lemma. We shall finish the proof in the case $\rho(S) > 1$ by using Theorem 5.5. Here we note that the proof of Theorem 5.5 uses Theorem 5.3 in the case in which $\rho(S_i/\varphi(S_i)) = 1$.

We may replace Z by its completion at $\varphi(S)$. Then $\rho(X/Z) = \rho(S)$. In fact, we have $R^2\varphi_{s*}\mathcal{O}_{X_s} = 0$ by Lemma 2.2, hence $R^2\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X = 0$. By an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-X_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_s}^* \rightarrow 0$$

the natural homomorphism $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^1(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}^*)$ is surjective. Since $H^1(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s}^*) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)$ is also surjective, we have our claim.

We apply the minimal model program to the morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$. By Theorems 5.5 and 5.2, there exists a sequence of flips $X = X_0 \dashrightarrow X_1 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow X_t$ and a divisorial contraction $X_t \rightarrow Z'$. By the previous arguments, $Z' \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies the conditions (1.1). Since the induced morphism $\psi : Z' \rightarrow Z$ contracts only a finite number of curves, we have $K_{Z'/\Delta} = \psi^*K_{Z/\Delta}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $R^1\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(mK_{Z'/\Delta}) = 0$ for any integer m , hence $\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(mK_{Z'/\Delta}) = \mathcal{O}_Z(mK_{Z/\Delta})$ satisfies (1.1). Q.E.D.

5.4. Lemma. *In the above theorem, assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring with an algebraically closed residue field, $\varphi(S_i)$ is a point, D_i is irreducible, $\rho(S_i) = \dim N_1(S_i) = 1$, and that there are 3 singular points of S_i on D_i . Then the conclusion of the theorem holds.*

Proof. We shall use the arguments of [MT]. We explain which part we should pick up from [MT]. We note that the characteristic is assumed to be zero in [MT], but the arguments which we pick up are characteristic free.

We use the following notation of [MT]; $\bar{V} = S_i$, $\bar{E} = D_i$, $f : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ is the minimal resolution, E is the strict transform of $\bar{E}$ by f , D is the sum of E and the exceptional divisors of f , $D = \sum_i D_i$ is the decomposition into irreducible components, $K_V + D^\# = f^*(K_{\bar{V}} + \bar{E})$, T_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are exceptional divisors of f above the 3 singular points of $\bar{V}$ on $\bar{E}$, C_i is the irreducible component of T_i which meets E , $C_1 = T_1$, $(C_1^2) = -2$, and $F = E + T_1 + T_2 + T_3$.

A *permissible linear pencil of rational curves* Λ on V is defined on [MT, p.274]. L_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are singular members of Λ , and $L_1 = C_1 + 2\ell + D_1$. E becomes a cross section of Λ ([MT, Lemma 2.2]). Since $(f_*(\ell)^2) > 0$, D_1 intersects some irreducible components $D_2, \dots, D_{e+1}$ of $D - D_1$. They are also cross sections of Λ . By [MT, 2.3.1], The L_i are the only singular fibers of Λ , and they consist of (-1) -curves and irreducible components of D . If $e = 1$, then there are exactly one (-1) -curve on each L_i by [MT, 2.3.2], and they do not intersect the section D_2 . Thus the connected component of D containing D_2 cannot be contracted to a singular point described in Corollary 4.3, because its dual graph is a fork, a contradiction. If $e > 2$, then we have a fork with the central component D_1 . If $e = 2$, then there exists a singular fiber L_2 which has only one (-1) -curve by [MT, 2.3.2]. Thus D_2 and D_3 should intersect an irreducible component of L_2 which is contained in D_2 .

Hence we have a cycle in D , a contradiction. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the existence of a permissible linear pencil of rational curves in the following.

In [MT, §3], by contracting the curves in D except E and C_1 on V , we obtain a morphism g to factorize f as $V \xrightarrow{g} W \xrightarrow{g'} \bar{V}$. Let $\tilde{C}_1 = g_*(C_1)$ and $\tilde{E} = g_*(E)$. Since $\rho(W) = 2$ and $(\tilde{C}_1^2) = -2$, $\overline{\text{NE}}(W)$ is generated by C_1 and an extremal ray $\tilde{\ell}$ with respect to K_W . We may assume that the strict transform ℓ of $\tilde{\ell}$ on V is a (-1) -curve, because $(K_V \cdot \ell) \leq (K_W \cdot \tilde{\ell}) < 0$ and we may take an $\tilde{\ell}$ which passes through a singular point of W if $(\tilde{\ell}^2) = 0$. We set $\bar{\ell} = f_*(\ell)$.

There are 2 possibilities: $\ell \neq E$ or $\ell = E$. We assume first that $\ell \neq E$. Since $(\bar{\ell}^2) > 0$ and $(\tilde{\ell}^2) \leq 0$, we have $(C_1 \cdot \ell) > 0$. But $((K_V + D^\#) \cdot \ell) < 0$, hence $(C_1 \cdot \ell) = 1$ and $(E \cdot \ell) = 0$. In [MT, Lemma 3.4] it is proved that ℓ does not intersect F except C_1 . Then by [MT, 4.1], ℓ intersects an irreducible component D_1 of $D - F$. If $(D_1^2) = -2$, then $(D_1 \cdot \ell) = 1$, because $(\tilde{\ell}^2) \leq 0$, hence $|C_1 + 2\ell + D_1|$ gives a permissible pencil of rational curves. If $(D_1^2) = -3$, then we derive a contradiction with $(\bar{\ell}^2) > 0$ by the argument in [MT, p.295, up to line 17].

We shall assume that $\ell = E$ in the following. Then we have $(\tilde{E}^2) \leq 0$ and $(E^2) = -1$. The calculation in [MT, Lemma 3.1] shows that the combination of singularities of $\bar{V}$ along $\bar{E}$ are as in one of the cases (1), (2), (3), (5), (7), (9), (10), (11) or (13). In the cases (1) with $r = 1$, (2), (5) or (9), if $D_i = S_i \cap S_j$ in the notation of Theorem 5.3, then D_i is contracted in S_j to a rational double point. Then Z_s is Gorenstein, and so is Z , and the claim of the theorem is clear.

In the case (1) with $r > 1$, we consider a linear pencil $\Lambda = |C_1 + 2E + C_2|$. Then $A_r = C_3$ becomes a double section, and there is only one (-1) -curve on each singular fiber by [MT, 5.3.1.(i)]. Let L be a singular fiber containing A_{r-1} , the irreducible component of F_3 next to C_3 . By the argument in [MT, p.300, lines 5-11], since $(A_r \cdot A_{r-1}) = 1$, we have $(A_r \cdot \ell) = 0$, and the multiplicity of A_{r-1} in L is 2, hence the graph of L is either the bottom one on [MT, p.299] or that on [MT, p.300]. In the former case, $D - F$ has a fork except when $s = 1$. But if $s = 1$, then $(B_1^2) = -(r + 1)$ in the notation there, and the three curves at the right end cannot be contracted to a singular point of the type described in Corollary 4.3. In the latter case, similar argument holds for the $s + 1$ curves at the right end.

In the remaining cases, we shall first prove that there exists a (-1) -curve h which does not meet $F - C_1$ and such that $(h \cdot C_1) = 1$. This is done in the cases (3), (7) and (13) at [MT, 5.5 and the latter half of 5.3.2], and in the cases (10) and (11) at [MT, 5.6 and 5.7 using 5.2 similarly as 5.4].

We shall find an irreducible component D_1 of D such that $(D_1^2) = -2$ and $(h \cdot D_1) = 1$. Then the pencil $|C_1 + 2h + D_1|$ is permissible. Let $\tilde{h} = g_*(h)$. In [MT, Lemma 6.1] one calculates that $(\tilde{h}^2) < 1$ in our cases. By the first argument of [MT, 6.2], there exists an irreducible component D_1 of D which meets h and such that $(D_1^2) = -2$ or -3 . Let $\mu = (h \cdot D_1)$. In the latter case, we have $\mu = 1$. In the former case, if we write $g^*\tilde{h} = h + \alpha_1 D_1 + \dots$ (instead of the equation at [MT, p.306, line 10]), then $0 = (g^*\tilde{h} \cdot D_1) \geq \mu - 2\alpha_1$, and $(\tilde{h}^2) \geq -1 + \mu^2/2$, hence $\mu = 1$. Then the rest is the same as in the last part of the proof in the case in which $\ell \neq E$. Q.E.D.

5.5. Flip Theorem. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ and $g : Z \rightarrow \Delta$ be morphisms which satisfy the conditions in (5.1). Then there exists a morphism $\varphi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ which satisfies the remaining conditions of (5.1), i.e. the flip of φ . Moreover, if $\text{End}(\varphi)$*

is a geometrically irreducible curve, then so is $\text{Exc}(\varphi^+)$

Proof. We may assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field is algebraically closed. In fact, the existence of the flip is equivalent to the surjectivity of the homomorphisms

$$\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X(m_0 K_{X/\Delta}) \otimes \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X(nm_0 K_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X((n+1)m_0 K_{X/\Delta})$$

for a positive integer m_0 and all positive integers n , and is stable by the flat base change.

Let $r(\varphi)$ be the maximum of the indices of $K_{X/\Delta}$ at the points on $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$. We shall prove the theorem by induction on $r(\varphi)$. Let r_0 be a positive integer, and assume that the theorem is true for any φ such that $r(\varphi) < r_0$. Let us fix a φ such that $r(\varphi) = r_0$.

Let $Q \in Z$ be a point in the image of $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$. Since the existence of the flip is a local question, we replace Z by its completion at Q , and then X , etc., by its fiber product over Z . We note that the properties (1.1) are preserved under this replacement.

Let e be the number of irreducible components of $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$. Then we have $\rho(X/Z) = e$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.3. We may assume that $e = 1$ in the following. In fact, if we have our theorem for $e = 1$, then applying the minimal model program to $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$, we obtain a sequence of flips whose exceptional loci are irreducible curves, which terminates by Theorem 5.2. So we obtain $\varphi^\# : X^\# \rightarrow Z$ such that $K_{X^\#/\Delta}$ is $\varphi^\#$ -nef. Let $\psi_s : X_s^\# \rightarrow X_s^+$ be the contraction morphism of all the curves whose intersection numbers with $K_{X^\#/\Delta}$ are 0. Then we have $R^1\psi_{s*}\mathcal{O}_{X_s^\#} = 0$, and ψ_s can be extended to a morphism $\psi : X^\# \rightarrow X^+$ as in the proof of the contraction theorem. Since we can prove that $R^1\psi_{s*}\mathcal{O}_{X_s^\#}(mK_{X^\#/\Delta}) = 0$ for any integer m , X^+ also satisfies the conditions in (1.1). Therefore, the induced morphism $\varphi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ gives the flip of φ .

Let $C = \text{Exc}(\varphi)$, and $\Lambda(\varphi) = \{P \in C : \text{the index of } K_{X/\Delta} \text{ at } P \text{ is } r_0\}$. Let $n(P)$ be the axial multiplicity at $P \in \Lambda(\varphi)$, and let $n(\varphi) = \sum_{P \in \Lambda(\varphi)} n(P)$ be the total axial multiplicity.

First, we shall prove our theorem under the additional assumption that all the points $P \in \Lambda(\varphi)$ are of simple type, and we proceed by induction on $n(\varphi)$. We note that any non-normal point of X_s is of simple type by Theorem 4.1.

5.6. Lemma. *Let P be a point of index r_0 on C , $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ the standard blowing up at P , and C' the strict transform of C . Then $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') \leq 0$.*

Proof. There are three cases:

- (1) $C \subset S_1 \cap S_2$ for two irreducible components S_1 and S_2 of X_s ,
- (2) $C \subset S_1$ for the only one irreducible component S_1 of X_s and $C \cap D_1 \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) $C \subset S_1$ for the only one irreducible component S_1 of X_s and $C \cap D_1 = \emptyset$.

Let E be the exceptional divisor of μ , and S'_i the strict transforms of the S_i by μ .

Case (1). Since C is contractible, we have $(S_i \cdot C) < 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $(X_s \cdot C) = 0$, there exists another irreducible component S_3 such that $(S_3 \cdot C) > 0$. Since the index of $K_{X/\Delta}$ at the point $S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3$ is 1, P is not contained in S_3 . Since the contraction of C in S_1 yields a log terminal singularity, P is the only singular point of S_1 on C . Then C' intersects two irreducible components E

and S'_3 of X'_s besides S'_1 and S'_2 . Hence we have $(S'_i \cdot C') = -1$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') = 0$, i.e., C' is a $(-1, -1)$ -curve.

Case (2). Since C contracts to a log terminal singularity inside S_1 , it follows that $C \cap D_1$ consists of a single point P_1 , and there are at most another singular point P_2 of S_1 on C ; if S_1 is nonsingular along $C \setminus \{P_1\}$, we let P_2 be an arbitrary point of $C \setminus \{P_1\}$. Let $r_i \geq 1$ be the indices of $K_{X/\Delta}$ at the points P_i for $i = 1, 2$. Let S_2 be the other irreducible component of X_s which intersects C , and D the irreducible component of D_1 which contains P_1 . We set $\frac{1}{r_1}(1, a_1)$ the type of the singularity of S_1 at P_1 . Let $\alpha : S'_1 \rightarrow S_1$ be the minimal resolution, and let D'' and C'' be the strict transforms of D and C by α , respectively. Then C'' is a (-1) -curve, and the exceptional divisors $F_{i,j}$ ($i = 1, 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ for some $d_i \geq 0$) of α over P_i satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (D'' \cdot F_{1,1}) &= (F_{1,1} \cdot F_{1,2}) = \dots = (F_{1,d_1-1} \cdot F_{1,d_1}) = 1 \\ (C'' \cdot F_{2,1}) &= (F_{2,1} \cdot F_{2,2}) = \dots = (F_{2,d_2-1} \cdot F_{2,d_2}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

under the suitable numbering. There are two subcases:

- (2a) P_2 is a rational double point of type A and $(C'' \cdot F_{1,j_0}) = 1$ for some j_0 , and all other intersections are 0,
- (2b) $(C'' \cdot F_{1,d_1}) = 1$, and all other intersections are 0.

In the subcase (2a), since $r_2 = 1$, we have $r_0 = r_1$ and $P = P_1$. Then $E_1 = E \cap S'_1$ is the image of $F_{1,1}$, and it is clear that $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') \leq 0$, where the equality holds if and only if $j_0 = 1$.

In the subcase (2b), let $\frac{1}{h_2 r_2^2}(b_2 h_2 r_2 - 1, 1)$ be the type of $P_2 \in S_1$ for some positive integers b_2 and h_2 such that $b_2 < r_2$ (cf. Corollary 4.3). We also set $a_1 b_1 = c_1 r_1 + 1$ for some integers b_1 and c_1 such that $0 < b_1 \leq r_1$. The singularity of S_1 at P_1 (resp. P_2) can be described as a toroidal embedding whose lattice of 1-parameter subgroups is

$$N = \mathbb{Z}^2 + \frac{1}{r_1}(1, a_1)\mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbb{Z}^2 + \frac{1}{h_2 r_2^2}(b_2 h_2 r_2 - 1, 1)\mathbb{Z}),$$

and D'', F_{1,d_1}, C'' (resp. $C'', F_{2,1}$) correspond to $(0, 1), (b_1/r_1, 1/r_1), (1, 0)$ (resp. $(1, 0), ((b_2 h_2 r_2 - 1)/h_2 r_2^2, 1/h_2 r_2^2)$) in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then the total transform of C on S'_1 has coefficient b_1/r_1 (resp. $(b_2 h_2 r_2 - 1)/h_2 r_2^2$) at F_{1,d_1} (resp. $F_{2,1}$) and

$$(C^2) = -1 + b_1/r_1 + (b_2 h_2 r_2 - 1)/h_2 r_2^2 < 0,$$

because C is contractible. The discrepancy coefficient of F_{1,d_1} (resp. $F_{2,1}$) with respect to $K_{S_1} + D$ is given by

$$(b_1 + 1)/r_1 - 1 - 1/r_1 = b_1/r_1 - 1 \quad (\text{resp. } b_2/r_2 - 1),$$

hence

$$((K_{S_1} + D) \cdot C) = -1 - (b_1/r_1 - 1) - (b_2/r_2 - 1) = 1 - b_1/r_1 - b_2/r_2 < 0.$$

Thus

$$b_1/r_1 + b_2/r_2 - 1/h_2 r_2^2 < 1 < b_1/r_1 + b_2/r_2 - 1 + c/r_1 r_2$$

for a positive integer e . Hence $1/h_2r_2^2 > 1/r_1r_2$, i.e., $r_1 > h_2r_2$. Therefore, $r_0 = r_1$ and $P = P_1$.

The exceptional divisor $E_1 = E \cap S'_1$ is the image of $F_{1,1}$, which corresponds to $(1/r_1, a_1/r_1)$. The new singularity at the intersection $E_1 \cap C'$ is of type $\frac{1}{a_1}(1, -r_1) = \frac{1}{a_1}(c_1, 1)$, and

$$(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') = ((K_{S'_1} + D' + E_1) \cdot C') = -1 - (c_1/a_1 - 1) - (b_2/r_2 - 1) = 1/a_1r_1 - e/r_1r_2.$$

Since $b_1r_2 = (r_2 - b_2)r_1 + e \equiv e \pmod{r_1}$, we have $r_2 \equiv a_1e \pmod{r_1}$, hence $r_2 \leq a_1e$. Therefore, $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') \leq 0$.

Case (3). If there is only one singular point of index greater than one on C , then it is clear that $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') \leq 0$. We assume that there are more than one such singularities in the following. Then there are exactly 2 singular points, say P_1 and P_2 , because $C \subset S_1$ contracts to a rational singularity. Let $\alpha : S''_1 \rightarrow S_1, C''$, and $F_{i,j}$ ($i = 1, 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ for some $d_i \geq 0$) be as in the case (2) except the intersection number with D'' . As before, let $\frac{1}{h_i r_i^2}(b_i h_i r_i - 1, 1)$ be the types of the $P_i \in S_1$ for some positive integers r_i, b_i and h_i such that $b_i < r_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We set also $a_i b_i = c_i r_i + 1$ for some integers a_i and c_i such that $0 < a_i < r_i$. There are 2 subcases:

- (3a) $(C'' \cdot F_{1,d_1}) = 1$, and all other intersections are 0,
- (3b) $(C'' \cdot F_{1,j_0}) = 1$ for some j_0 with $1 < j_0 < d_1$, and all other intersections are 0.

In the subcase (3a), C is contracted to a log terminal singularity of type A. We may assume $r_0 = r_1 \geq r_2, h_1 = 1$ and $P = P_1$. Then

$$(C^2) = -1 + (b_1r_1 - 1)/r_1^2 + (b_2h_2r_2 - 1)/h_2r_2^2 < 0$$

$$(K_{X/\Delta} \cdot C) = -1 - (b_1/r_1 - 1) - (b_2/r_2 - 1) < 0,$$

thus

$$b_1/r_1 + b_2/r_2 - 1/r_1^2 - 1/h_2r_2^2 < 1 < b_1/r_1 + b_2/r_2 = 1 + e/r_1r_2$$

for a positive integer e . The exceptional divisor $E_1 = E \cap S'_1$ corresponds to $((r_1 - a_1)/r_1^2, a_1/r_1^2) \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as before. We have

$$((K_{S'_1} + E_1) \cdot C') = -1 - (c_1/a_1 - 1) - (b_2/r_2 - 1) = 1/a_1r_1 - e/r_1r_2.$$

Since $b_1r_2 = (r_2 - b_2)r_1 + e \equiv e \pmod{r_1}$, we have $r_2 \equiv a_1e \pmod{r_1}$, hence $r_2 \leq a_1e$. Therefore, $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') \leq 0$.

We shall prove that the subcase (3b) does not occur. If C is contracted to a log terminal singularity of type D, then we have one of the following:

- (3b1) $d_1 = 3, j_0 = 2, (F_{1,1}^2) = (F_{1,3}^2) = -2$, and $(F_{1,2}^2) = \ell$ for an integer $\ell \geq 3$,
- (3b2) $d_2 = 1, j_0 = 2, (F_{1,1}^2) = -2$, and $(F_{2,1}^2) = -3$.

But then, P_1 (resp. P_2) in the case (3b1) (resp. (3b2)) is of type $\frac{1}{4\ell-4}(2\ell-1, 1)$ (resp. $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1)$), and cannot be of type $\frac{1}{hr^2}(bhr-1, 1)$ for some positive integers r, b and h with $0 < b < r$.

Next, assume that a type E singularity appears after the contraction. As for P_2 , a similar consideration as above shows that $d_2 = 1$ and $(F_{2,1}^2) = -4$ or $d_2 = 2$ and

$(F_{2,1}^2) = (F_{2,2}^2) = -3$. Then for P_1 , we have $j_0 = 2$, and one of the following holds for an integer $\ell \geq 2$:

- (3b3) $d_1 = 3$, $(F_{1,1}^2) = -2$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,3}^2) = -3$,
- (3b4) $d_1 = 3$, $(F_{1,1}^2) = -2$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,3}^2) = -4$,
- (3b5) $d_1 = 3$, $(F_{1,1}^2) = -2$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,3}^2) = -5$,
- (3b6) $d_1 = 4$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,1}^2) = (F_{1,3}^2) = (F_{1,4}^2) = -2$,
- (3b7) $d_1 = 4$, $(F_{1,1}^2) = (F_{1,3}^2) = -2$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,4}^2) = -3$,
- (3b8) $d_1 = 4$, $(F_{1,1}^2) = (F_{1,4}^2) = -2$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,3}^2) = -3$,
- (3b9) $d_1 = 5$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,1}^2) = (F_{1,3}^2) = (F_{1,4}^2) = (F_{1,5}^2) = -2$,
- (3b10) $d_1 = 6$, $(F_{1,2}^2) = -\ell$, and $(F_{1,1}^2) = (F_{1,3}^2) = (F_{1,4}^2) = (F_{1,5}^2) = (F_{1,6}^2) = -2$.

Then the only possible cases are (3b3) with $\ell = 5$, (3b4) with $\ell = 3$, and (3b7) with $\ell = 6$. But in these cases, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{X/\Delta} \cdot C) &= -1 + 1/2 + 4/5 > 0 \\ (K_{X/\Delta} \cdot C) &= -1 + 1/2 + 2/3 > 0 \\ (K_{X/\Delta} \cdot C) &= -1 + 1/2 + 6/7 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 5.5 continued. If $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') < 0$, we put $X^{(0)} = X'$. Otherwise, we have $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') = 0$. Then there exists a projective birational morphism $\psi : X' \rightarrow Z'$ over Δ which contracts only C' as in the contraction theorem. We shall construct a flop of ψ :

$$X' \xrightarrow{\psi} Z' \xleftarrow{\psi^+} X^{(0)}.$$

In the case (1) of Lemma 5.6, the blowing up of C' and the contraction in the other direction give us the flop. In other cases, since $R^1\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mK_{X'/\Delta}) = 0$ for any integer m , Z' satisfies the conditions in (1.1) except that the irreducible components of Z'_s are not necessarily $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let $Q' = \psi(C')$ and r' the index of $K_{Z'/\Delta}$ at Q' . As in Theorems 4.1 or 4.4, we can prove that the completion of the canonical cover of $\mathcal{O}_{Z',Q'}$ with the $\mu_{r'}$ -action is isomorphic to that of $A[x, y, z]/(F')$ with $F' = xy + \tau G'(z^{r'})$ for some semi-invariant coordinates x, y, z and a generator τ of the maximal ideal of A , where the action is given by $x \mapsto \zeta^{a'} \otimes x$, $y \mapsto \zeta^{-a'} \otimes y$, and $z \mapsto \zeta \otimes z$ for some positive integer a' such that $(r', a') = 1$. Since the strict transforms of S_1 and E on Z' are not $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier at Q' , G' is not invertible. So if ψ is obtained from the blowing up at the ideal (x, τ) , then the blowing up at (y, τ) gives us the flop $\psi^+ : X^{(0)} \rightarrow Z'$.

Now we apply the minimal model program to the induced morphism $f^{(0)} : X^{(0)} \rightarrow Z$. We note that $\rho(X^{(0)}/Z) = 2$. Since $K_{X^{(0)}/\Delta}$ is not relatively nef over Z , there exists a contraction morphism $\varphi^{(0)} : X^{(0)} \rightarrow Z^{(0)}$ with respect to an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X^{(0)}/Z)$. In the case $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') < 0$, we take $\varphi^{(0)}$ to be the contraction of C' .

If $\varphi^{(0)}$ is a small contraction, then the flip $\varphi^{(0)+} : X^{(1)} \rightarrow Z^{(0)}$ exists, since $r(\varphi^{(0)}) \leq r_0$, and if the equality holds, then $n(\varphi^{(0)}) < n(\varphi)$. Let $\varphi^{(1)} : X^{(1)} \rightarrow Z^{(1)}$ be the contraction morphism with respect to an extremal ray of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X^{(1)}/Z)$. If it is a small contraction again, then the flip $\varphi^{(1)+} : X^{(2)} \rightarrow Z^{(1)}$ exists by the same reason. We continue this process. By Theorem 5.2, we obtain a divisorial contraction $\varphi^{(s)} : X^{(s)} \rightarrow Z^{(s)}$ for a non-negative integer s where $s = 0$ may

occur only if $(K_{X'/\Delta} \cdot C') = 0$. By Theorem 5.3, X^+ satisfies the conditions in (1.1).

We shall prove that the composite birational map $X \dashrightarrow X^+$ is not the identity. Then the induced morphism $\varphi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow Z$ gives us the flip of φ , because $\rho(X^+/Z) = 1$ and $K_{X^+/\Delta}$ must be φ^+ -ample. Let v be a discrete valuation of the fraction field of X which has the center C' on X' . Let d and d^+ be the discrepancy coefficients of v over X and X^+ , respectively. If $s = 0$, then $d < d^+$, because C' is not contained in E , while the flopped curve is contained in the strict transform of E . If $s > 1$, then $d < d^+$, because a flip or a divisorial contraction increases the discrepancy. Therefore, the theorem is proved under the additional assumption that all the singular points of index r_0 are of simple type.

Next, we consider the general case. By the proof of Lemma 5.6, we have to consider only the case (3) there. Let $P \in \Lambda(\varphi)$. By Theorem 4.1, the completion of X at P has an equation $xy + G_P(z^{r_0}) = 0$ in the μ_{r_0} -quotient of the completion of $\text{Spec } A[x, y, z]$. Since X_η is smooth, the generic fiber of the 1-dimensional scheme defined by $G_P(w) = 0$ in the completion of $\text{Spec } A[w]$ is geometrically reduced.

Let $\tilde{A}$ be complete discrete valuation ring which is finite over A and such that $\tilde{\Delta} = \text{Spec } \tilde{A}$ dominates all the irreducible components of these 1-dimensional schemes for all $P \in \Lambda(\varphi)$. Let $\tilde{X} = X \times_\Delta \tilde{\Delta}$, $\tilde{Z} = Z \times_\Delta \tilde{\Delta}$, $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ the natural morphisms, $\tilde{P} = \sigma^{-1}(P)$ for $P \in \Lambda(\varphi)$, and $\tilde{\tau}$ be a generator of the maximal ideal of $\tilde{\Delta}$. Since X_s is normal, the completion of $\tilde{X}$ at $\tilde{P}$ is described by an equation $xy + \prod_j G_{P,j}(z^{r_0}) = 0$ where the $G_{P,j}(\text{mod } \tilde{\tau}\tilde{A}[z^{r_0}]) \in z^{r_0}k[z^{r_0}]$ contain linear terms in z^{r_0} . By the argument in [K3, p.116], there exists a projective birational morphism $\lambda : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ which contracts only a finite number of curves and such that $K_{Y/\tilde{\Delta}} = \lambda^*K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{\Delta}}$, the induced morphism $g : Y \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}$ satisfies the conditions in (1.1), and that Y has only singularities of simple type. If we apply the minimal model program to the small morphism $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \lambda : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$, then there exists a projective birational morphism $\tilde{\varphi}^+ : Y^+ \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ such that $K_{Y^+/\tilde{\Delta}}$ is $\tilde{\varphi}^+$ -nef by the first part of the proof. Then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that the natural homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\varphi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(m_0 K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{\Delta}}) \otimes \tilde{\varphi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(nm_0 K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{\Delta}}) \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}((n+1)m_0 K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{\Delta}})$$

are surjective for any positive integer n . By the flat base change theorem, we deduce the existence of the flip of φ . Q.E.D.

As explained in [KMM], the cone, contraction, and flip theorems combined yield the following:

5.7. Minimal Model Theorem. *Let $f^{(0)} : X^{(0)} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable projective morphism of relative dimension 2. Then there exist a projective flat morphism $f : X \rightarrow \Delta$ from a $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial scheme X satisfying the conditions in (1.1) and a birational map $\alpha : X^{(0)} \dashrightarrow X$ over Δ such that one of the following holds:*

- (a) $K_{X/\Delta}$ is f -nef,
- (b) $\rho(X/\Delta) = 2$, and there exist a projective flat morphism $g : Z \rightarrow \Delta$ of relative dimension 1 and a projective surjective morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$ over Δ such that $-K_{X/\Delta}$ is φ -ample,
- (c) $\rho(X/\Delta) = 1$, and $-K_{X/\Delta}$ is f -ample.

In the case (a), if $\kappa(X_\eta^{(0)}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_X(12K_{X/\Delta}) \in f^*\text{Pic}(\Delta)$ by the upper semicontinuity theorem.

5.8. Theorem. *In the case (a) of Theorem 5.7, if $\kappa(X_\eta^{(0)}) = 2$, then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that the natural homomorphism*

$$f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m_0 K_{X/\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m_0 K_{X/\Delta})$$

is surjective. In particular, the relative canonical ring

$$\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} f_*^{(0)} \mathcal{O}_{X^{(0)}}(m K_{X^{(0)}/\Delta})$$

is finitely generated over A .

Proof. We may assume that A is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field is algebraically closed. First, we shall prove that $|m_1 K_{X_s}|$ is free for a positive integer m_1 . The proof is similar to that in [K5, middle of p.356 to 357]. But we do not use the log canonical cover since it is not necessarily normal. Let $\nu_i = \kappa(S_i, K_{S_i} + D_i)$ for the irreducible components S_i of X_s . We may assume that $D_i \neq 0$ for all i when we glue sections of pluricanonical sheaves. Under this assumption, if $\nu_i = 0$, then S_i is birationally equivalent to a ruled surface over a curve C_i of genus g_i . If $g_i > 0$, then D_i contains either 2 sections or a double section which may be an inseparable section. Hence $g_i \leq 1$.

We claim that D_i is connected if $\nu_i = 0$ and S_i is rational. If we apply the log minimal model program to the pair $(S_i, 0)$, we obtain a projective birational morphism $\mu_i : S_i \rightarrow S'_i$ to a surface S'_i with only log terminal singularities such that $\rho(S'_i) = 1$, or that $\rho(S'_i) = 2$ and there is a surjective morphism $\varphi_i : S'_i \rightarrow C_i$ whose fibers are irreducible. Then $D'_i = \mu_{i*}(D_i)$ is connected. Tracing back the morphism μ_i , we conclude that D_i is also connected.

If $\nu_i = 0$, then $((K_{S_i} + D_i) \cdot \Gamma) = 0$ for any $\Gamma \subset D_i$, and there are the following possibilities:

- (1) S_i is a rational surface, and D_i is a nonsingular elliptic curve,
- (2) S_i is a rational surface, and D_i is a rational curve with a node or a cycle of nonsingular rational curves,
- (3) S_i is a rational surface, and D_i is a nonsingular rational curve on which there are 4 ordinary double points of S_i , or a rod of nonsingular rational curves each of whose 2 end components carries 2 ordinary double points of S_i ,
- (4) S_i is a rational surface, and D_i is a nonsingular rational curve on which there are 3 singular points of S_i ,
- (5) S_i is birationally equivalent to an elliptic ruled surface, and D_i consists of 2 disjoint nonsingular elliptic curves which are sections of the ruling,
- (6) S_i is birationally equivalent to an elliptic ruled surface, and D_i is a nonsingular elliptic curve which is a double section of the ruling.

In the case (4), the log indices of $K_{S_i} + D_i$ at the singular points are $(2, 3, 6)$, $(2, 4, 4)$, or $(3, 3, 3)$. Therefore as in [K5], we obtain canonical sections in $H^0(D_i, 12(K_{S_i} + D_i))$, hence a section in $H^0(B(0), 12m_2 K_{X_s})$ for a positive integer m_2 , where $B(0)$ is the union of the S_i with $\nu_i = 0$. Then the rest is the same as in [K5] and $\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(m_1 K_{X_s})$ is generated by global sections for a positive integer m_1 .

Next, we shall prove that $H^1(X_s, m_0 K_{X_s}) = 0$ for a positive multiple m_0 of m_1 . Then the sections in $H^0(X_s, m_0 K_{X_s})$ are extended to X , and the proof of

the theorem is completed. The free linear system $|m_1 K_{X_s}|$ gives a morphism $\psi : X_s \rightarrow Y_s$ with connected fibers to a 2-dimensional projective scheme Y_s such that $m_1 K_{X_s} = \psi^* H$ for an ample divisor H on Y_s . Thus it is enough to prove that $R^1 \psi_* \mathcal{O}_{X_s} = 0$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3. If $\nu_i = 2$, then $\psi_i = \psi|_{S_i}$ contracts only a finite number of curves, and we have $R^1 \psi_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{S_i}(-D_i) = 0$. For $\nu_i = 1$, we can use Lemma 2.1. So we have to prove that $H^1(B(0), \mathcal{O}_{B(0)}) = 0$.

Let $K^0 = \oplus_i \mathcal{O}_{S_i}$, $K^1 = \oplus_{i>j} \mathcal{O}_{D_{ij}}$, and $K^2 = \oplus_{i>j>k} \mathcal{O}_{P_{ijk}}$, where $D_{ij} = S_i \cap S_j$, $P_{ijk} = S_i \cap S_j \cap S_k$, and the summations are taken for all i, j, k such that $\nu_i = \nu_j = \nu_k = 0$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B(0)} \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow K^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since there are components S_i such that $\nu_i = 2$, we can check that the homomorphism $\oplus_i H^1(S_i, \mathcal{O}_{S_i}) \rightarrow \oplus_{i>j} H^1(D_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{ij}})$ is injective, and that the dual graph of intersections of irreducible components of $B(0)$ has no cycle. Then by the spectral sequence $E_1^{p,q} = H^q(K^p) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(B(0), \mathcal{O}_{B(0)})$, we obtain $H^1(B(0), \mathcal{O}_{B(0)}) = 0$. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [A1] M. Artin, *Some numerical criteria for contractibility of curves on algebraic surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 485–496.
- [A2] ———, *On isolated rational singularities of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 129–136.
- [BM] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford, *Enriques’ classification of surfaces in char. p , III*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 197–232.
- [F] T. Fujita, *Fractionally logarithmic canonical rings of algebraic surfaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA **30** (1984), 685–696.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 20, Springer, 1966.
- [K1] Y. Kawamata, *On the classification of non-complete algebraic surfaces*, Algebraic Geometry Copenhagen 1978, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 732, Springer, 1979, pp. 215–232.
- [K2] ———, *Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **127** (1988), 93–163.
- [K3] ———, *Moderate degenerations of algebraic surfaces*, Complex Algebraic Varieties Bayreuth 1990, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1507, Springer, 1992, pp. 113–132.
- [K4] ———, *Minimal discrepancy coefficients of terminal singularities in dimension 3*, appendix to “3-folds log-flips” by V. V. Shokurov, Izv. Acad. Nauk CCCP **56** (1992), 201–203.
- [K5] ———, *Log canonical models of algebraic 3-folds*, Intl. J. Math. **3** (1992), 351–357.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Algebraic Geometry Sendai 1985, Adv. St. Pure Math., vol. 10, 1987, pp. 283–360.
- [KKMS] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal Embeddings I*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 339, Springer, 1973.
- [Ko] J. Kollár, *Extremal rays on smooth threefolds*, Ann. Sci. E.N.S. **24** (1991), 339–361.
- [LM] R. Lewin-Ménégaux, *Un théorème d’annulation en caractéristique positive*, Pinceau de courbes de genre au moins deux II, Asterisque, vol. 86, 1981, pp. 35–43.
- [MT] M. Miyanishi and S. Tsunoda, *Logarithmic del Pezzo surfaces of rank one with non-contractible boundaries*, Japan. J. Math. **10** (1984), 271–319.
- [Mo1] S. Mori, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), 133–176.
- [Mo2] ———, *On 3-dimensional terminal singularities*, Nagoya Math. J. **98** (1985), 43–66.
- [Mu] D. Mumford, *Enriques’ classification of surfaces in char p : I*, Global Analysis - papers in honor of K. Kodaira, Univ. Tokyo Press and Princeton Univ. Press, 1969, pp. 325–339.
- [R] M. Reid, *Canonical 3-folds*, Géométrie Algébrique Angers 1979, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1980, pp. 273–310.

- [S] V. V. Shokurov, *Semistable 3-fold flips*, preprint.
- [T] S. Tsunoda, *Degeneration of surfaces*, Algebraic Geometry Sendai 1985, Adv. St. Pure Math., vol. 10, 1987, pp. 755–764.
- [TM] S. Tsunoda and M. Miyanishi, *The structure of open algebraic surfaces II*, Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds, Prog. Math., vol. 39, Birkhäuser, 1983, pp. 499–544.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, HONGO, BUNKYO,
TOKYO, 113, JAPAN

E-mail address: kawamata@math.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp